

## Economic Feasibility of Coconut Cultivation in Tamil Nadu State of India

Gokula Kannan K.<sup>1</sup>, Auindrila Biswas<sup>2</sup>, and Soumitra Chatterjee<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT

The current study assessed the economic viability of copra production in Tamil Nadu for the 2023-24 period, based on data collected from 100 farm households with coconut plantations of varying age groups. Initial establishment cost formed one-fifth of the maintenance cost, while material and labour cost contributed one-fifth and one-fourth of the establishment cost, respectively. On an average, 13,000 mature nuts per hectare yielded about 30 quintals of copra, resulting in net returns of approximately Rs.1,00,000 per hectare. Financial indicators, such as Net Present Value (NPV), Internal Rate of Return (IRR), and Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) confirmed profitability, where 41–50 years old plantations performed best.

**Keywords:** Coconut, Copra, Financial Feasibility, NPV, IRR, BCR, Payback Period

**JEL Code:** Q12, Q14, D24, Q13, R11

### I

#### INTRODUCTION

Coconut is documented as the key plantation crop in India and is applauded to be a smallholders' crop since three thousand years ago (Lathika and Kumar, 2005). The fruit has an enormous popularity as tender and one of the most natural drinks with high nutritional value (Paul and Lakshmi, 2015). Around 92 countries in the world have practiced coconut plantation with a mammoth 60.00 million metric tons of production since 2007, which has gone up to 61.44 million metric tons of production in 2014-15. Currently, the production has a further upsurge of 66.67 million metric tons with acreage of 12.25 million hectares and productivity of 5440 nuts per hectare (2021-22). India is the largest producer of coconut in the world with a 20.74 million metric tonnes of production of nuts though it has secured third in acreage (2.20 million hectares) after the Philippines and Indonesia and second highest in productivity (9430 nuts per hectare) after Jamaica in 2021-22 (Coconut Statistical Year Book, 2021).

India plays a prominent role in global coconut supply, where export of coconut from India grew at a CAGR of 13 per cent in between 2015-16 to 2021-22. Currently, India has crossed Rs.3236.83 crore (US\$ 393 million) mark in world export 2021-22 surpassing the previous year with an increase of 41.0 per cent (Indian Trade Portal, 2022).

However, the state Tamil Nadu has a bulk share in both acreage (20.75 per cent) and production (26.40 per cent) of coconut in the country after Kerala and Karnataka (Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, 2023). The

<sup>1</sup>Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya (B.C.K.V.), West Bengal- 741252

<sup>2</sup>School of Agriculture and Development, Central University of South Bihar, Bihar-824236

<sup>3</sup>Palli Siksha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture), Visva Bharati, West Bengal- 731236

importance of coconut cultivation in Tamil Nadu is enormous as it is inseparably embedded in the social heritage and cultural identity as well. It is being eulogized as “*Kalpavriksha*” or the Tree of Heaven (Palaniselvam *et al.*, 2018). The people living in this region are predominantly exercising coconut oil as their prime cooking material.

Keeping this view in mind, the present study attempts to evaluate critically the financial status and economic feasibility of coconut orchard particularly in the state of Tamil Nadu with detailed cost-return structure and scenario over last seven years (2017-18 to 2023-24) of observation. The study comprises of socio-economic status of 100 sample coconut cultivators of varied operational holding (50 marginal farmers with holding size less than 1.0 hectare; 33 small farmers having holding size between 1.0 to 2.0 hectare; 11 semi-medium farmers with holding size between 2.0 to 4.0 hectare, 3 medium farmers having holding size between 4.0 to 10.0 hectare and 3 large farmers with more than 10.0 hectares of land). Data has been collected from different villages in three preferred blocks namely Pattukkotai, Peravurani and Sethubhavachatram of Thanjavur district where coconut production has secured the third highest position in the state.

## II

### DATA AND METHODOLOGY

100 coconut cultivators having different age group of plants have been categorized (Group I: 1-10 years; Group II: 11-20 years; Group III: 21-30 years; Group IV: 31-40 years and Group V: 41-50 years) from three blocks of Thanjavur district and interviewed following simple random sampling method with well-structured and pre-tested schedules. The primary data related to initial investment, maintenance cost at different stages of plant (gestation and bearing), annual break-up cost of production, returns obtained after sixth year were collected, compiled and analyzed subsequently from 2017-18 to 2023-24. Tabular analysis tools like percentages, averages and financial feasibility tools like Net Present Value (NPV), Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR), Payback Period (PBP) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR) were used to analyze the overall sustainability of coconut farms in this region.

#### 2.1 Amortization Cost

The amortization cost for establishment of coconut plantation is considered to represent the annual fixed cost component of plantation maintenance. The capital investment made in first 7 years for establishment was divided into equal annual installments starting from seventh year till the economic life of coconut plantation.

$$A = P \frac{i(1+i)^n}{(1+i)^n - 1}$$

Where,

A= Annual amortization cost in rupees

P= Initial capital investment in rupees

$i$  = Discount rate (12.0 per cent)

$n$  = Expected life of the orchard (60 years).

## 2.2 Discounted Payback Period (PBP)

Pay-back period refers to the length of time period required for an investment to generate the net cash income to be equal to the original investment.

$$\sum_{t=0}^{t^*} C_t = \sum_{t=0}^{t^*} R_t$$

Where,

$R_t$  = Return in period  $t$

$C_t$  = Cost in period  $t$

## 2.3 Net Present Value (NPV)

It represents the discounted value of the net cash flows of the project.

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{(B_t - C_t)}{(1 + d)^t}$$

Where,

$B_t$  = Benefits in rupees in each year

$C_t$  = Costs in rupees in each year

$d$  = Discounted rate (14 per cent)

$n$  = Economic life of the plantation in years

## 2.4 Benefit-Cost Ratio

The benefit cost ratio was worked out by dividing discounting benefits by discounted cost during the life period of the coconut plantation. It was calculated using the formula:

$$BCR = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n B_t / (1 + d)^t}{\sum_{t=1}^n C_t / (1 + d)^t}$$

Where,

$B_t$  = Benefits in rupees in each year

$C_t$  = Cost in rupees in each year

$d$  = Discount rate (14 per cent)

$n$  = Economic life of the plantation in years

The present study attempts to estimate the cost of production of coconut plantations across different age groups up to the sixth year, a period during which only

input costs were incurred and no economic returns were realized. Returns from the plantation started from the seventh year onwards. The authors have further considered an additional ten years of plantation life of coconut by computing projected cost and return from the seventh year onwards. Compounding method has been applied to work out the NPV and IRR based on the current BCR observed in the seventh year.

### 2.5 Internal Rate of Return (IRR)

It is that discount rate which makes the net present worth of cash flow equal to zero. It represents the average earning power of money used in the project over the project life. The formula used is

$$\left( \text{Internal rate of return} \right) = \left( \text{Lower discount rate} \right) + \left( \frac{\text{Difference between two discount rates}}{\text{Absolute difference between present worth's of cash flow at two discount rates}} \right) \left( \frac{\text{Present worth of the cash flow at the lower discount rate}}{\text{Absolute difference between present worth's of cash flow at two discount rates}} \right)$$

However, IRR is computed in MS-Excel formulas and not manually where only lower discounted rate was required to calculate IRR. The interest rate closer to opportunity cost of 14.0 per cent was chosen as discounted rate in case of coconut plantation.

Table 1 represents the socio-economic descriptive statistics of coconut cultivators and their farm-families. Farmers aged between 25 and 62 years, with basic education up to the primary level and an average operational holding of 1.0 to 2.0 hectares of land with moderate valuation (> Rs.1.5 lakh), have been considered and subsequently categorized according to various age groups of plants. The total non-farm income of the farm-families across groups varied from Rs. 75,000 per annum to Rs.81,500 per annum. However, due to the perennial nature of the crop, there is no off season or lean period in coconut cultivation. Apart from cultivation practices, farmers also engage in daily wage labour in hotels, supermarkets, loading and unloading activities and driving motor cars and tractors on a hire basis to meet their basic livelihood needs. Most cultivators are highly focused on coconut cultivation, although around 20.0 per cent of farm-families have also engaged in agriculture and allied activities such as livestock, fishery and poultry. The mean return from various farming activities ranges from Rs. 2,33,000 to a maximum of Rs. 3,42,333 per annum, while the total consumption expenditure of different groups of farm-families ranges between Rs. 2,07,000 and Rs. 2,31,000 per annum.

TABLE 1. SOCIO-ECONOMIC DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF SAMPLE COCONUT FARM HOUSEHOLDS ACCORDING TO DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS OF PLANT IN TAMIL NADU INDIA

| Parameters                        | Units                 | Group I (n=7)<br>1-10 years |           | Group II (n=38)<br>11-20 years |           | Group III (n=27)<br>21-30 years |           | Group IV (n=20)<br>31-40 years |            | Group V (n=8)<br>41-50 years |            |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| (1)                               | (2)                   | Mean<br>(3)                 | SD<br>(4) | Mean<br>(5)                    | SD<br>(6) | Mean<br>(7)                     | SD<br>(8) | Mean<br>(9)                    | SD<br>(10) | Mean<br>(11)                 | SD<br>(12) |
| Farmer's age                      | Years                 | 25.43                       | 1.51      | 31.79                          | 5.67      | 46.07                           | 6.03      | 55.00                          | 4.30       | 61.50                        | 3.93       |
| Sex/Gender                        | Code                  | 1.00                        | 0.00      | 1.00                           | 0.00      | 1.00                            | 0.00      | 1.00                           | 0.00       | 1.00                         | 0.00       |
| Education                         | Code                  | 1.00                        | 0.79      | 2.00                           | 1.13      | 2.00                            | 1.22      | 2.00                           | 1.12       | 2.00                         | 0.82       |
| Religion                          | Code                  | 1.14                        | 0.38      | 1.26                           | 0.45      | 1.19                            | 0.40      | 1.45                           | 0.51       | 1.43                         | 0.52       |
| Caste                             | Code                  | 1.86                        | 0.38      | 1.87                           | 0.66      | 1.89                            | 0.64      | 2.20                           | 0.62       | 2.00                         | 0.53       |
| Distance to town                  | Code                  | 1.14                        | 0.38      | 1.32                           | 0.66      | 1.37                            | 0.69      | 1.25                           | 0.64       | 1.38                         | 0.74       |
| Leased in land                    | Hectare               | -                           | -         | -                              | -         | -                               | -         | -                              | -          | -                            | -          |
| Leased out land                   | Hectare               | -                           | -         | -                              | -         | -                               | -         | -                              | -          | -                            | -          |
| Total operational holding         | Hectare               | 1.03                        | 0.75      | 1.68                           | 2.27      | 2.10                            | 2.23      | 1.56                           | 2.43       | 1.30                         | 1.16       |
| Non-farm income                   | ₹ annum <sup>-1</sup> | 75000.00                    | 8736.89   | 79105.26                       | 33995.06  | 81592.59                        | 33728.85  | 71800.00                       | 14192.66   | 75250.00                     | 11170.75   |
| Total valuation of assets         | ₹ annum <sup>-1</sup> | 212142.86                   | 191873.59 | 217702.70                      | 120569.22 | 238148.15                       | 223276.08 | 204750.00                      | 117310.60  | 163750.00                    | 50124.84   |
| Gross return from crops + animals | ₹ annum <sup>-1</sup> | 299285.71                   | 63273.78  | 341710.53                      | 193276.43 | 342333.33                       | 178149.89 | 314250.00                      | 164598.99  | 233125.00                    | 70808.47   |
| Total consumption expenditure     | ₹ annum <sup>-1</sup> | 227714.29                   | 25620.40  | 222657.89                      | 21240.73  | 231277.78                       | 23931.12  | 222350.00                      | 20939.83   | 207625.00                    | 20703.26   |

Note: Group I: 1-10 years age of plants Group II: 11-20 years age of plants Group III: 21-30 years age of plants Group IV: 31-40 years age of plants Group V: 41-50 years age of plants at: Number of farmers  
Sex code: 1- Male 2- Female Education code: 1- Illiterate 2- Primary (educated) Religion code: 1- Hindu 2- Christian 3- Others Caste code: 1- General 2- OBC 3- Others Distance to town code: 1- <5Km  
2- >5Km.

One striking observation is that, despite considering various age groups of plants, the mean return and expenditure of farm-families was highest for Group III, representing 21-30 years of farming experience in coconut plantations. This pattern can be explained by the biological life cycle of the coconut tree, as the age span of 21-40 years corresponds to its full bearing stage, during which the number of nuts per plant is at its peak. Beyond 40 years, the fruit bearing ability of the plant gradually declines, leading to a reduction in overall yield.

### III

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

##### *3.1 Cost Structure of Coconut Plantations*

The initial investment cost incurred for coconut plantations refers to the expenditure required to establish the plantation until returns begin from the seventh year onwards. The initial investment in coconut plantations worked out to be slightly greater than Rs. 1, 00,000 per hectare per annum, ranging from a minimum of Rs.1,01,863 (Group IV: 31-40 years of plantation) to a maximum of Rs.1,08,750 (Group I: 1-10 years of plantation) per hectare per annum. This accounts for only around 18.0 per cent of the total establishment cost incurred up to the sixth year across all plant age groups. Activities like land preparation, digging, filling and planting, fencing were the major contributors towards labour costs, accounting for 7-8 per cent of the initial investment across all age groups. Total labour cost starting from land preparation to harvesting, handling and de-husking constitutes around 20.0 per cent of the initial investment cost of coconut plantations. These findings are consistent with earlier studies by Kishore and Murthy (2017) on coconut plantations in Karnataka, as well as Goswami and Challa (2007) on the computation of initial investment cost in rubber plantation. Total maintenance cost is the major part of cost incurred for establishment of coconut plantation, contributing approximately 80.0 per cent. Within maintenance cost, almost equal contribution came from cost incurred for variable and fixed inputs (around 50.0 per cent each). Variable cost includes material cost like planting materials, manures and fertilizers, plant protection chemicals, irrigation and miscellaneous uses, total labour cost (both hired and owned) and interest on working capital @12.5 per cent per annum, while fixed cost includes rental value of land, depreciation of own machineries, land revenue cess taxes and interest on fixed capital @10.0 per cent per annum. Planting material accounts for 9.0 per cent of total material cost in the first year, while the cost of manures and fertilizers shares around 60.0 per cent of the total material cost from first year onwards. Irrigation contributes around 34.0 per cent of the labour cost across all plantation age groups. Opportunity cost of land shares around 86.0 per cent of the total fixed cost in coconut plantations up to the sixth year of investment (Chinniah and Suresh, 2013). The cost of production in seventh year was estimated at around Rs. 2, 00,000 per hectare per annum for coconut and around Rs. 2, 20,000 per hectare per annum for copra. Total variable cost shares



TABLE 3. MAINTENANCE COST OF COCONUT PLANTATION DURING GESTATION AND BEARING PERIOD FOR AGE GROUP 11-20 YEARS IN TAMIL NADU INDIA

[illegible]







TABLE 6. MAINTENANCE COST OF COCONUT PLANTATION DURING GESTATION AND BEARING PERIOD FOR AGE GROUP 41-50 YEARS IN TAMIL NADU INDIA  
(Rs. per hectare)

[illegible]

around 38.0 per cent of the total cost for coconut and 45.0 per cent for copra across all aged groups, with the remaining share attributed to fixed cost. Copra-making charges contributed around 10.0 per cent to the total cost of copra production. Amortization cost after seven years accounts approximately 30.0 per cent of the total cost of copra production. Further, cost of manure and fertilizer constitutes the largest share of the total variable cost for copra production after the seventh year (76.0 per cent). Labour cost for copra making after the seventh year was estimated to be around 29.0 per cent of total labour cost. Among fixed cost components, amortized costs of copra production account for the highest share, contributing about 56.0 per cent of the total fixed cost.

### *3.2 Output Performance and Optimum Replacement Age of Coconut Plantations*

While analysing the output and return from coconut plantations across different age groups, the return has been segregated into mature nuts, tender nuts and copra. The productivity of all age groups varied between 13,000 and 13,700 nuts per hectare, while plants aged 21-30 years with profuse bearing phase recorded highest yield (13,689 nuts per hectare), indicating that this stage corresponds to the peak productive phase. After this stage, the yield declined to 13,027.5 nuts per hectare in 31–40 years age group, suggesting the onset of biological ageing and gradual reduction in productivity. Therefore, the optimum age for replacement of coconut plantations may be considered between 31–40 years, when the yield begins to deteriorate.

The returns from selling mature nuts at Rs.18 per unit ranged from Rs.2,40,000 to Rs. 2,52,000 per hectare for all aged groups. Additional returns from husk and dry leaves were around Rs. 9000 per hectare. After deduction of total cost, net return from mature nuts ranged between Rs. 40,000 to Rs. 50,000 per hectare. Another striking feature is that due to lower maintenance cost, the net return from mature nuts was highest (Rs. 49,646 per hectare) for plantations aged 41-50 years. A similar trend was observed for tender nuts and copra, with net returns of Rs. 70,971 and Rs. 1,12,402 per hectare, respectively, in the same age group. Of all three major products from coconut plantations, copra registered the highest profit, with productivity of 28.0-30.0 quintals per hectare in this region.

### *3.3 Economic Feasibility of Coconut Plantation in Long-run*

The authors have considered copra while analysing the financial feasibility of coconut plantations across different age groups of plants under study. Since return from copra are realized from the seventh year onwards, both return and cost have been projected for an additional ten years (up to sixteenth year of cultivation) from the current stage of each age group to assess the economic feasibility and viability of coconut plantations in Thanjavur district.

Net present value of copra has been computed at 14.0 per cent discounted rate for all age group plants, with values ranging from Rs.9,76,000 to Rs.17,90,000 per hectare. Notably, 41-50 years age group plants have registered highest NPV (Rs.17,90,703 per hectare). Internal rate of return (IRR) of copra has been estimated

TABLE 7. OUTPUT AND RETURN FROM COCONUT PLANTATION FOR DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS OF PLANT IN TAMIL NADU INDIA

| Sl. No. | Particulars                                             | Unit     | Average Yield and return per hectare |                                  |                                   |                                  |                                 | Overall  |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
|         |                                                         |          | Group I<br>(1-10 years)<br>(3)       | Group II<br>(11-20 years)<br>(4) | Group III<br>(21-30 years)<br>(5) | Group IV<br>(31-40 years)<br>(6) | Group V<br>(41-50 years)<br>(7) |          |
| 1       | Total yield (nuts)                                      | No.      | 13392.9                              | 13363.2                          | 13688.9                           | 13027.5                          | 13443.8                         | 13383.3  |
| 2       | Domestic consumption                                    | No.      | 207.1                                | 210.5                            | 207.4                             | 205.0                            | 231.3                           | 212.3    |
| 3       | Nuts available for selling or copra making              | No.      | 13185.7                              | 13152.6                          | 13481.5                           | 12822.5                          | 13212.5                         | 13171.0  |
| I       | Yield of mature nuts                                    | No.      | 13185.7                              | 13152.6                          | 13481.5                           | 12822.5                          | 13212.5                         | 13171.0  |
| 4       | Average price per nut                                   | Rs.      | 18.0                                 | 18.0                             | 18.0                              | 18.0                             | 18.0                            | 18.0     |
| 5       | Returns realized                                        | Rs.      | 237342.9                             | 236747.4                         | 242666.7                          | 230805.0                         | 237825.0                        | 237077.4 |
| 6       | Husk                                                    | Rs.      | 5614.3                               | 5579.6                           | 5657.4                            | 5370.0                           | 5475.0                          | 5539.3   |
| 7       | Dry leaves                                              | Rs.      | 3500.0                               | 3539.5                           | 3574.1                            | 3575.0                           | 3500.0                          | 3537.7   |
| 8       | Total returns                                           | Rs.      | 246457.1                             | 245866.4                         | 251898.1                          | 239750.0                         | 246800.0                        | 246154.3 |
| 9       | Total cost                                              | Rs.      | 204455.5                             | 201200.1                         | 203674.3                          | 192112.7                         | 197153.5                        | 199719.2 |
| 10      | Net returns from mature coconut                         | Rs.      | 42001.7                              | 44666.3                          | 48223.9                           | 47637.3                          | 49646.5                         | 46435.1  |
| II      | Yield of tender nuts                                    | No.      | 13185.7                              | 13152.6                          | 13481.5                           | 12822.5                          | 13212.5                         | 13171.0  |
| 11      | Average price per unit                                  | Rs.      | 20.0                                 | 20.0                             | 20.0                              | 20.0                             | 20.0                            | 20.0     |
| 12      | Returns realized                                        | Rs.      | 263714.3                             | 263052.6                         | 269629.6                          | 256450.0                         | 264250.0                        | 263419.3 |
| 13      | Dry leaves                                              | Rs.      | 3928.6                               | 3868.4                           | 3870.4                            | 3750.0                           | 3875.0                          | 3858.5   |
| 14      | Total returns                                           | Rs.      | 267642.9                             | 266921.1                         | 273500.0                          | 260200.0                         | 268125.0                        | 267277.8 |
| 15      | Total cost                                              | Rs.      | 204455.5                             | 201200.1                         | 203674.3                          | 192112.7                         | 197153.5                        | 199719.2 |
| 16      | Net returns from tender nuts                            | Rs.      | 63187.4                              | 65720.9                          | 69825.7                           | 68087.3                          | 70971.5                         | 67558.6  |
| III     | Yield of copra                                          | Quintals | 29.3                                 | 29.2                             | 30.0                              | 28.5                             | 29.4                            | 29.3     |
| 17      | Average price per quintal                               | Rs.      | 10860.0                              | 10860.0                          | 10860.0                           | 10860.0                          | 10860.0                         | 10860.0  |
| 18      | Returns realized                                        | Rs.      | 318215.2                             | 317416.8                         | 325353.1                          | 309449.7                         | 318861.7                        | 317859.3 |
| 19      | Dry leaves                                              | Rs.      | 2000.0                               | 2210.5                           | 2203.7                            | 2625.0                           | 2187.5                          | 2245.3   |
| 20      | Husk                                                    | Rs.      | 4500.0                               | 4500.0                           | 4500.0                            | 4500.0                           | 4500.0                          | 4500.0   |
| 21      | Shell                                                   | Rs.      | 5164.3                               | 5155.3                           | 5155.6                            | 5137.5                           | 5156.3                          | 5153.8   |
| 22      | Total returns                                           | Rs.      | 329879.5                             | 329282.6                         | 337212.3                          | 321712.2                         | 330705.4                        | 329758.4 |
|         | Total cost (including copra making and marketing costs) | Rs.      | 230190.3                             | 226864.3                         | 229399.0                          | 217824.0                         | 218303.5                        | 224516.2 |
| 23      | Net returns from copra                                  | Rs.      | 99689.2                              | 102418.4                         | 107813.3                          | 103888.2                         | 112402.0                        | 105242.2 |

Note: Group I: 1-10 years age of plants Group II: 11-20 years age of plants Group III: 21-30 years age of plants Group IV: 31-40 years age of plants Group V: 41-50 years age of plants]

Note: Group I: 1-10 years age of plants Group II: 11-20 years age of plants Group III: 21-30 years age of plants Group IV: 31-40 years age of plants Group V: 41-50 years age of plants

TABLE 8. FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY OF COCONUT PLANTATION IN TAMIL NADU ACCORDING TO DIFFERENT YEARS OF FARMING EXPERIENCE

| Year | DF @ 14 per cent | Group-I (1-10 years) |         |        | Group-II (11-20 years) |         |         | Group-III (21-30 years) |         |         | Group-IV (31-40 years) |         |         | Group-V (41-50 years) |         |         | (Rs. per hectare) |
|------|------------------|----------------------|---------|--------|------------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|
|      |                  | DTC                  | DTR     | DNR    | DTC                    | DTR     | DNR     | DTC                     | DTR     | DNR     | DTC                    | DTR     | DNR     | DTC                   | DTR     | DNR     |                   |
| (1)  | (2)              | (3)                  | (4)     | (5)    | (6)                    | (7)     | (8)     | (9)                     | (10)    | (11)    | (12)                   | (13)    | (14)    | (15)                  | (16)    | (17)    |                   |
| 1    | 0.877            | 95395                | 0       | -95395 | 93955                  | 0       | -93955  | 94628                   | 0       | -94628  | 89354                  | 0       | -89354  | 93656                 | 0       | -93656  |                   |
| 2    | 0.769            | 70719                | 0       | -70719 | 69299                  | 0       | -69299  | 70209                   | 0       | -70209  | 66150                  | 0       | -66150  | 69197                 | 0       | -69197  |                   |
| 3    | 0.674            | 61819                | 0       | -61819 | 60114                  | 0       | -60114  | 61571                   | 0       | -61571  | 56327                  | 0       | -56327  | 61176                 | 0       | -61176  |                   |
| 4    | 0.592            | 55504                | 0       | -55504 | 54682                  | 0       | -54682  | 55186                   | 0       | -55186  | 51965                  | 0       | -51965  | 54908                 | 0       | -54908  |                   |
| 5    | 0.519            | 49898                | 0       | -49898 | 49074                  | 0       | -49074  | 49550                   | 0       | -49550  | 45460                  | 0       | -45460  | 49311                 | 0       | -49311  |                   |
| 6    | 0.455            | 43909                | 0       | -43909 | 43206                  | 0       | -43206  | 43572                   | 0       | -43572  | 40848                  | 0       | -40848  | 43369                 | 0       | -43369  |                   |
| 7    | 0.399            | 91993                | 131832  | 39840  | 90663                  | 131594  | 40930   | 91676                   | 134763  | 43086   | 87051                  | 128568  | 41518   | 87242                 | 132162  | 44920   |                   |
| 8    | 0.350            | 115394               | 165369  | 49975  | 115317                 | 167378  | 52061   | 118214                  | 173773  | 55558   | 113013                 | 166913  | 53900   | 115557                | 175057  | 59499   |                   |
| 9    | 0.307            | 144749               | 207436  | 62687  | 146676                 | 212893  | 66218   | 152434                  | 224075  | 71641   | 146719                 | 216694  | 69975   | 153063                | 231873  | 78811   |                   |
| 10   | 0.269            | 181571               | 260205  | 78634  | 186561                 | 270785  | 84224   | 196560                  | 288939  | 92379   | 190477                 | 281322  | 90845   | 202741                | 307131  | 104389  |                   |
| 11   | 0.236            | 227760               | 326397  | 98638  | 237293                 | 344420  | 107127  | 253459                  | 372580  | 119121  | 247286                 | 365225  | 117939  | 268543                | 406813  | 138270  |                   |
| 12   | 0.207            | 285699               | 409428  | 123730 | 301820                 | 438078  | 136258  | 326829                  | 480432  | 153603  | 321038                 | 474152  | 153114  | 355702                | 538849  | 183147  |                   |
| 13   | 0.182            | 358377               | 513581  | 155205 | 383893                 | 557204  | 173311  | 421437                  | 619504  | 198067  | 416786                 | 615566  | 198780  | 471149                | 713739  | 242590  |                   |
| 14   | 0.159            | 449543               | 644229  | 194687 | 488285                 | 708724  | 220439  | 543432                  | 798834  | 255402  | 541090                 | 799156  | 258065  | 624066                | 945391  | 321325  |                   |
| 15   | 0.140            | 563900               | 808112  | 244212 | 621065                 | 901448  | 280383  | 700741                  | 1030075 | 329334  | 702468                 | 1037500 | 335032  | 826614                | 1252228 | 425615  |                   |
| 16   | 0.122            | 707348               | 1013684 | 306336 | 789951                 | 1146578 | 356628  | 903587                  | 1328255 | 424668  | 911976                 | 1346930 | 434954  | 1094900               | 1658653 | 563753  |                   |
|      |                  | 3503576              | 4480274 | 976698 | 3731853                | 4879102 | 1147249 | 4083084                 | 5451228 | 1368144 | 4028007                | 5432026 | 1404020 | 4571195               | 6361897 | 1790703 |                   |
| NPV  |                  |                      |         | 976698 |                        |         | 1147249 |                         |         | 1368144 |                        |         | 1404020 |                       |         | 1790703 |                   |
| IRR  |                  |                      |         | 29.70  |                        |         | 31.34   |                         |         | 32.88   |                        |         | 33.78   |                       |         | 35.56   |                   |
| BCR  |                  |                      |         | 1.28   |                        |         | 1.31    |                         |         | 1.34    |                        |         | 1.35    |                       |         | 1.40    |                   |
| PBP  |                  |                      |         | 11.38  |                        |         | 11.14   |                         |         | 10.94   |                        |         | 10.79   |                       |         | 10.60   |                   |

Note: Group I: 1-10 years age of plants Group II: 11-20 years age of plants Group III: 21-30 years age of plants Group IV: 31-40 years age of plants Group V: 41-50 years age of plants DF: Discounted factor DTC: Discounted total cost DTR: Discounted net return DNR: Discounted net return NPV: Net present value IRR: Internal rate of return BCR: Benefit cost ratio PBP: Pay-back period.

Note: Group I: 1-10 years age of plants Group II: 11-20 years age of plants Group III: 21-30 years age of plants Group IV: 31-40 years age of plants Group V: 41-50 years age of plants DF: Discounted factor DTC: Discounted total cost DTR: Discounted total return DNR: Discounted net return NPV: Net present value IRR: Internal rate of return BCR: Benefit cost ratio PBP: Pay-back period.

between 30.0 to 36.0 per cent, which is significantly higher than the discounted rate (14.0 per cent), indicating strong economic viability and sustainability of coconut plantations across all age groups in the long run. Among the different age groups, 41–50 years old plants have marked the highest IRR (35.56 per cent). Furthermore, the projected values revealed a discounted cost-benefit ratio between 1.3 and 1.4 across all age groups, reflecting a satisfactory and stable returns from future plantations. The highest ratio (1.4) was again observed for the 41–50 years age group.

Another important indicator of financial feasibility is the break-even point, which represents the stage at which the cumulative returns from the plantation become equal to the total investment cost incurred. In the present study, the break-even point was evaluated through the discounted pay-back period, since both concepts essentially indicate the time required to recover the initial investment from the net cash flows of the project. The results revealed that coconut plantations recover their initial investment within 10–11 years of cultivation, depending on the age group of plants. Plantations aged 41–50 years recorded the shortest discounted pay-back period of about 10.60 years, whereas the longest pay-back period (11.38 years) was observed for plantations in the 1–10 years age group. Thus, coconut plantations are capable of reaching their break-even point within a decade of establishment, after which the plantation continues to generate positive net returns over its productive life. These findings highlight the long-term economic sustainability of coconut cultivation in the Thanjavur district.

However, the entire study was an honest attempt to work out the economic feasibility of copra production in Tamil Nadu, wherein the authors have classified plantations into five distinct age groups and collected cost-return information up to seventh year of plantation. For financial feasibility computation, the authors further projected costs and returns for an additional ten years, thereby extending the economic life of the plantations up to sixteen years. A striking feature of this entire study is that the IRR (~30 per cent) was substantially higher than the existing discounted rate of 14 per cent for all age group plants, registering a steady and sustained growth in coconut plantation in this region. These findings are similar with those reported by Sulochana (2009). Regarding payback period, initial investment is recovered within 10–11 years for all age group plants. However, this result contrasts with the findings of Ramachandra (2006), who reported a comparatively longer payback period, while it aligns with the observations of Dusang et al. (2023) in their assessment of the economic feasibility of coconut cultivation in Maharashtra.

#### IV

#### CONCLUSIONS

The study concludes that the total maintenance cost of coconut plantations after six years ranges between Rs.5,30,000 to Rs.5,80,000, depending on the age of plants. The initial establishment cost accounts for approximately 18.0 per cent of the total

maintenance cost. The contribution of fixed and variable input costs were found to be more or less equal (~50 per cent) to total cost where material cost shares around 20.0 per cent and labour cost has contributed around 27.0 per cent to total establishment cost of copra production. In terms of output, the production of copra (~30.0 quintals) is derived from around 13,000 numbers of mature nuts per hectare. However, net return from copra was estimated at about Rs.1, 00,000 per hectare. Regarding financial feasibility over sixteen years of economic life, the plantations were feasible for all age group plants where highest NPV (Rs.17,90,703) was registered for 41-50 years aged plants with higher IRR (35.56 per cent) and BCR (1.4) with lower pay back period of ten years and six months of time. Financial incentives, enhanced coconut-specific schemes, infrastructural development, improved marketing and value-addition support from the Government and local bodies are essential to promote and sustain coconut cultivation in Thanjavur.

**Acknowledgement:** The contribution and kind efforts of the referees and reviewers for thorough checking, reviewing, making comments, useful suggestions and recommending our manuscript for publication are duly acknowledged by the authors.

*Received: June 2025*

*Revisions Accepted: May 2026*

#### REFERENCES

- Palaniselvam, A., Ushamalani, C., & Rajendran, R. (2018). Variations in morphological and molecular characterization of *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* (Pat.) Griffon and Maubl associated with coconut leaf blight. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 105 (1/3), 66-71.
- Chinniah, M., & Suresh, G. (2013). Coconut cultivation in Tamil Nadu- an economic analysis. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 2 (3), 62-63.
- Dusang, P., Wadkar, S. S., & Akhare, A. (2023). Economic feasibility of coconut cultivation in Sindhudurg District of Maharashtra. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12 (1), 1145-1149.
- Goswami, S. N., & Challa, O. (2007). Economic analysis of small holder rubber plantations in West Garo Hills District of Meghalaya. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 62 (4), 649-663.
- Government of India. (2023). Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. <https://coconutboard.gov.in/>
- Indian Trade Portal. (2022). Department of commerce, Ministry of commerce and Industry Government of India, <https://www.indiantradeportal.in/>
- International Coconut Community. (2021). Coconut Statistical Year Book 2021. <https://coconutboard.gov.in/>
- Kishore, M. S., & Murthy, C. (2017). Economic feasibility of coconut cultivation in Karnataka. *International Journal of Commerce and Business Management*, 10 (2), 243-249.
- Lathika, M., & Kumar, C. E. A. (2005). Growth trends in area, production and productivity of coconut in India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 60 (4), 686-697.
- Paul, R., & Lakshmi, V. (2015). Emerging trend analysis of coconut production in India. *Sai Om Journal of Commerce and Management*, 4 (6), 1-8.
- Ramachandra, V. A. (2006). Production and marketing of sapota in north Karnataka – An economics analysis. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India.
- Sulochana, M. (2009). Production and marketing of coconut with special reference to Kanyakumari district. Ph.D. Thesis, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tamil Nadu, India.